

Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

UNITED ST/ S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent anddemark Office
Address: COMMISS ONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

					AS COL	1388	Washington, C	J.C. 20231		("
	NUMBER	FILI	NG DATE		FI	RST NAMED A	PPLICANT		ATTY, DOCKET NO.	
	08/925	, 372	09/08	3/ 9 7	DORN			н	BAYER926	5.1K
							-		EXAMINER	
					НМ	12/081	13			
	KURT G			AEFER &	BR 1	SCOE			PAPER NUM	BER
	660 WH				Ditt	JOUL		•	29	}
	TARRYT	OMN NA	10591	1-5144				1617	~ /	
	,		•					DATE MAILED:	08/13/99	9
						٠,				
	a communication				ur applicati	on.	:		•	
				OFF	ICE AC	TION SI	JMMARY	٠		
				7-11-	99	a. 1	8-5-99			
₹ Respo	nsive to com	nunication	(s) filed on	7 10-		oua	8-5-99			
] This a	ction is FINAL									
Since accord	this applicatio	n is in con practice (idition for a	llowance ex arte Quayle	cept for f	ormal mat C. 11; 453	ters, prosecution O.G. 213.	as to the merits	is closed in	
shortene	d statutory po	eriod for re	esponse to	this action is	s set to e	xpire	3	month(s), or		
hichever	is longer, fron	the maili	no date of	this commu	nication.	Failure to	respond within the	e period for respon d under the provis	se will cause ions of 37 CFR	
ispositio	n of Claims					•				
₹ Claim	(s) 17,1	8,20-	-25					_is/are pen	ding in the applica	tion.
_	above, claim							is/are withdrav	vn from considera	tion.
Claim		0 4	21-25						is/are allowed.	
Claim		8 and						 .	is/are rejected. is/are objected to.	
Claim								oject to restriction of	•	
	n Papers									
] See th	e attached N	otice of Dr	aftsperson	's Patent Dr	awing Re	view, PTC)-948.			
	rawing(s) filed						_is/are objected to	o by the Examiner		
	roposed draw	•						is [_] approve	d 📙 disapprov	ed.
	pecification is ath or declara	-	-		ier.					
_			,							
	nder 35 U.S.C wledament is		a claim for	foreian prior	ritv under	35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d).			
	-						y documents have	e been		
=	eceived. eceived in App	olication N	o. (Series	Code/Serial	Number)					
							reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		
*Certific	ed copies not	received:								,
Ackno	wledgment is	made of	a claim for	domestic pr	iority und	er 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(e).			
Attachme	nt(s)									
T Notice	e of Reference	e Cited, P	TO-892							
				TO-1449. Pa	per No(s)	20	2 2)	here()		
	iew Summan						`	,		

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

* U.S. GPO: 1996-421-632/

Serial Number: 08/925,372 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

1. The request filed on July 16, 1999 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/925,372 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claims 22-26 have been renumbered as claims 21-25, Rule 1.126.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

Claims 17, 18 and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kristiansen et al.(A), Shiokawa et al.(B), Elbert et al. (AT) and Derwent Abstract of JP 03,279,389 (AR"), all of record, and European Patent 0,285,985 (AJ*'), newly cited.

.The prior art teaches that the claim designated pyridinylmethyl & thiazolylmethylimidazolidinium compounds, analogues, and isomers thereof are known insecticides, effective Serial Number: 08/925,372 Page 3

Art Unit: 1617

against insects of the type claimed and may be applied in a non-systemic control method such as dermal application. For example see the Kristiansen et al. reference, col. 4, lines 10-23 and col. 15(cat fleas) and the European Patent page 7, wherein fleas may be controlled by dermal application of the active ingredient. Therefore, one skilled in this art would find ample motivation from the prior art supra to use the claimed compounds as insecticides applied non-systemically (dermal application) to humans or animals to combat the target insects of the instant application with a reasonable expectation that said compounds would be safe and effective. Thus, no patentable distinction can be seen between the claims of record and the state of the art as taught by the prior art.

With regard to the declaration by Dr. Dorn, filed April 7, 1997, said declaration tested compounds that are clearly different from the claim designated compounds. Thus said declaration is not deemed persuasive to over come the above rejection.

With regard to the declaration by Dr. Dorn, filed August 4, 1996, Claim 20 would be favorably considered if presented in independent form.

References AL"-AN", AD*'-AH*', AK*'-AN*', AP*'-AT*', AR*', BA*', BB*', BL*' and BM*' are cited to show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-4524.

AJR August 12, 1999